UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,632	08/16/2006	Matthias Meinhold	54167/37206	2465
24108 CARLTON FIE	7590 01/30/200 ELDS, P.A.	EXAMINER		
Attn: IP Dept.		ANDERSON, GREGORY A		
P.O. BOX 3239 TAMPA, FL 33		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,632	MEINHOLD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
GREGORY A. ANDERSON	3773		

	GREGORT A. ANDERSON	3173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two months	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause			
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further cor		E below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reig	octed claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		cted ciaims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)			
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		Inpliant Amendment (	1 1 OL-324).			
<ul><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li></ul>		imely filed amendmer	at canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).	owable il subifficed ill a separace, c	illiely liled afficilidifiel	it cancelling the			
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation that the presser device is actuated in the axial direction alters the scope of claim 1 and requires further consideration and a new search.